

ChiliProject - Bug # 200: After moving an issue, revision list does not get updated

Status:	Open	Priority:	Low
Author:	Ivan Cenov	Category:	SCM
Created:	2011-02-18	Assignee:	
Updated:	2011-02-20	Due date:	
Remote issue URL:			
Affected version:			
Description: I think I found a bug or some omission (in Redmine 1.1.1):			
How to reproduce it:			
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Create an issue #nnn in project PA.2. Associate an Subversion repository to project PB.3. Commit an revision in this repository and add 'resolved' string in the message with number #nnn. The revision will not appear in the issue #nnn, because it belongs to another project (PA instead of PB).4. Move the issue to project PB.5. You may expect that the revision has appeared in the issue #nnn, but it hasn't. <<< This is what I call a bug or an omission.6. Remove the repository, associated to project PB.7. Assign the same repository to project PB.8. Fetch revisions.9. Take a look at the issue #nnn. The omitted revision is there.			
The last two steps resolve the problem, so I think it is now serious one thus I set the priority of the issue to 'Low'.			

History

2011-02-18 08:11 am - Ivan Cenov

I posted the same issue in Redmine: <http://www.redmine.org/issues/7649>

2011-02-18 09:40 am - Felix Schäfer

I think it's because revisions are associated on changeset fetch, and if the issue isn't in the same project, no association is created.

2011-02-18 11:32 am - Ivan Cenov

I think so too. If this is considered bad behavior I suppose that the solution could be in the procedure that moves issues. It could take a look at recorded (already fetched) changesets and update ... something (that I don't know what it is, because I am not Ruby / Rails / Redmine programmer).

P.S. I saw that I had written "The last two steps...". This should be read as "The last four steps...".

2011-02-19 01:37 am - Eric Davis

- Subject changed from *After copying an issue, revision list does not get updated* to *After moving an issue, revision list does not get updated*

2011-02-20 05:20 pm - Felix Schäfer

I think a better approach to the changeset-issue links would be to add them all to the DB no matter what an choose which to show based on preferences.