

## ChiliProject - Feature # 210: Bump redcloth version

<b>Status:</b>	Open	<b>Priority:</b>	Normal
<b>Author:</b>	Eric Thomas	<b>Category:</b>	Libraries
<b>Created:</b>	2011-02-19	<b>Assignee:</b>	
<b>Updated:</b>	2011-12-11	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Remote issue URL:</b>			
<b>Affected version:</b>			
<b>Description:</b> The current redcloth is very old and a lot of improvements have been made to it. This "commit": <a href="https://github.com/et/chiliproject/commit/2b6dd536a336d223ff43f30caa492a2306537441">https://github.com/et/chiliproject/commit/2b6dd536a336d223ff43f30caa492a2306537441</a> upgrades redcloth to the stable version (4.2.2).  I have another commit which integrates it with CodeRay, but first a question: Blocks of code are done with @bc[ruby].. code@, see "here": <a href="http://redcloth.org/textile/html-integration-and-escapement/#block-code">http://redcloth.org/textile/html-integration-and-escapement/#block-code</a> . I couldn't find any reference to @pre/code class="ruby"@ in the redcloth textile documentation. Was this redmine specific? Should ChiliProject support both ways of formatting code or one way (if one, which one?).			

### Associated revisions

**2008-02-03 04:34 pm - Jean-Philippe Lang**

Do not use RedCloth's glyphs (#210).

git-svn-id: [http://redmine.rubyforge.org/svn/trunk@1113\\_e93f8b46-1217-0410-a6f0-8f06a7374b81](http://redmine.rubyforge.org/svn/trunk@1113_e93f8b46-1217-0410-a6f0-8f06a7374b81)

### History

**2011-02-19 11:51 am - Felix Schäfer**

IIRC redcloth 4 has binary parts that aren't windows-compatible, am I mistaken?

**2011-02-19 04:44 pm - Eric Thomas**

Felix Schäfer wrote:

> IIRC redcloth 4 has binary parts that aren't windows-compatible, am I mistaken?

I seem to remember a response from matz to someone who remarked that the latest release of ruby didn't work on windows to which he replied (something along these lines): "I don't use windows, so I don't care."

I wish everyone had this philosophy.

All kidding aside, according to the redcloth "homepage":<http://redcloth.org/>:

bq. RedCloth will compile the C extension and install. RedCloth is available for native Ruby, JRuby, and Win32 platform.

I have zero experience with running ruby on windows nor do I have access to a windows box so I really have no way of testing this. Can anybody assist?

**2011-02-19 05:26 pm - Aleksey Zapparov**

If it does matter, I can check RedCloth on Windows, just tell me which version of it against which version of Ruby you want me to check, so I'll check it on one of my WinXP boxes.

**2011-02-19 05:38 pm - Eric Thomas**

Aleksey, I ran it on the master branch with RedCloth 4.2.2 and ruby 1.9.2.

From what I've gathered on RedCloth's website, it looks like ruby >=1.8.6 is supported, so could you try it with ruby 1.8.7? Thanks!

**2011-02-19 11:13 pm - Eric Davis**

If someone can test RedCloth with Ruby 1.8.7 and 1.9.2 on Windows that would be great. I think coderay is the part that uses the @pre/code@ blocks but I could be wrong.

**2011-02-20 01:05 am - Ian Freeman**

It's easy to do a virtualbox vm, although I agree, who cares about hosting a website on windows? :)

**2011-02-20 05:25 am - Eric Thomas**

Eric Davis wrote:

> I think coderay is the part that uses the @pre/code@ blocks but I could be wrong.

It is done here:

source:lib/redmine/wiki\_formatting/textile/formatter.rb#L55

Basically takes @< pre >< code class="ruby" >@ and translates it to @< pre >< code class="syntaxhl ruby" > CodeRay formatting etc. etc.@

Looking closely at the output of @bc..@, it looks like it should stay the same since when skipping a line with bc, RedCloth creates a new block. Consider this example

```
<pre>
bc[ruby].. def hello_world
  puts "hello world"
end

def goodbye_world
  puts "goodbye world"
end
</pre>
```

RedCloth will create the following:

```
<pre>
< pre >
< code lang="ruby" >
def hello_world
  puts "hello world"
end
< /code >

< code lang="ruby" >
def goodbye_world
  puts "goodbye world"
end
< /code >
< / pre>
</pre>
```

Since there are two @< code >@ sections, it would be treated as if there are two separate code blocks which is probably not what the user intended. I propose to not even include the @bc@ tag and just stick with the current format.

**2011-02-20 05:04 pm - Felix Schäfer**

- Target version set to 2.0.0

Back to the original point :-) I'm OK with upgrading redcloth if it works on windows too, I think that's big enough to hold it for 2.0.0 though.

**2011-03-03 01:04 pm - Ian Freeman**

Eric Davis wrote:

> If someone can test RedCloth with Ruby 1.8.7 and 1.9.2 on Windows that would be great.

I'm attempting to do so but I am a complete noob when it comes to rails and ruby. I can't seem to include the redcloth gem with ruby 1.8.7 on windows/mongrel.

I get this:

> undefined local variable or method `version\_requirements' for #<Rails::GemDependency:0x4b258a8>

When trying to rake gems:install or mongrel\_rails start after @config.gem "RedCloth"@ and @require 'RedCloth'@ in environmet.rb of a test rails app.

I don't expect help from this forum on troubleshooting this, as it may be completely unrelated to RedCloth. But, I'll keep trying...

**2011-03-03 01:12 pm - Holger Just**

Ian Freeman wrote:

> I get this:

> > undefined local variable or method `version\_requirements' for #<Rails::GemDependency:0x4b258a8>

This errors comes from your rubygems which is too new. The version#4 release has fixes for rubygems 1.5, the current master for 1.6.0 (#251). Your safest bet is to use a rubygems < 1.5.

**2011-03-07 12:49 am - Ian Freeman**

gem -v says 1.5.2. I was using master current when I posted. Still try older gem?

**2011-03-07 03:56 am - Eric Thomas**

Ian I am using version 1.6.2 on the master with no problem.

**2011-03-07 06:53 pm - Ian Freeman**

I'm now using 1.6.1 with fewer problems. Now trying to sort out syntax errors on load calls (as reported by mongrel\_rails start -e production).

You may want to reconfirm that master works with gem 1.5 (did not for me).

**2011-03-07 06:56 pm - Holger Just**

@Ian. The current 1.1 release should work with rubygems 1.5, the current master with 1.6. If there are still bugs, please re-open #251, but don't re-purpose other issues.

**2011-03-18 05:56 pm - Eric Davis**

- Target version deleted (2.0.0)

- Category changed from Text formatting to Libraries

I'm removing this from the 2.0.0 target until someone commits to upgrading it.

**2011-12-03 07:00 pm - Felix Schäfer**

I had nearly forgotten about that. I just gave it a spin, and tl;dr: It works, redcloth4 works on windows, mri and jruby, but we'd need to port the 2 autolinking and the highlighting rule to redcloth4.

If I understood Holger correctly, redcloth4 would also allow us to port all the custom markup to redcloth rules, those wouldn't be available to other

formatter anymore though.

I'd like us to at least consider this for 3.0 as the next time we'll be able to bump it is middle of next year.

**2011-12-11 04:01 pm - Felix Schäfer**

My current work is on a branch in my repo on github: <https://github.com/thegcat/chiliproject/tree/feature/210/redcloth4>

Status: the @Redmine::WikiFormatting::TextileFormatterTest@ go through but for a double-escaping problem in a <notextile>@</notextile>-block, the problem though is that the ragel implementation makes it harder to hop in in-between the built-in methods/rules, so I'm not so sure that we would benefit much from upgrading after all.