

ChiliProject - Bug # 861: Apply Filter does not work on all-project-activities view

Status:	Closed	Priority:	Normal
Author:	E M	Category:	Journals / History
Created:	2012-02-05	Assignee:	
Updated:	2012-03-28	Due date:	
Remote issue URL:			
Affected version:	2.6.0		
Description:	Reproduce # http://demo.chiliproject.org/activity? # deactivate "issues" # click apply issue: you should now still see issues - all filters are reset Think thats rather critical, as you cannot view "the lastes commits" this way. Versions # 2.6.0 is not working either # 3.0.0 (current demo) is not working		

Associated revisions

2012-03-28 08:31 pm - Felix Schäfer

Activity: use default filter only on first request #861

History

2012-02-05 01:43 am - E M

- Target version deleted (3.0.0)

- Status changed from Open to Declined

well, actually a second later, i cannot reproduce it on 3.0.0 anymore - still broken in 2.6.0 - but as its fixed, iam just closing this

2012-02-05 09:26 am - Holger Just

I can't reproduce it on neither demo.chiliproject.org, nor a 2.6.0 instance nor chiliproject.org. It all works as expected.

The activities filter works so that you only see the activity types you select there. What might be surprising is that the labels are actually links so that you can easily select a single activity type.

2012-02-06 10:44 pm - E M

well for 2.6.0, its tricky:

- it will work for the global activities, but not for acitivities for projects with subprojects

2012-02-07 07:56 am - E M

- Target version set to 3.1.0

- Status changed from Declined to Open

reopening, as actually the bug really exists: (current 3.0.0, bundle install with RVM)

(<https://skitch.com/mayerxored/g9j95/chiliproject-bug>)

- /projects/<yourparentprojectwithsubprojectsandcommits>/activity
- you see the filters on the right side
- deselect "issues" and leave include subproject checked
- click apply

Now, you still see issues being active.

What looks fishy to me is, that when i deslect issues and submit, i get this parameters `projects/dw/activity?with_subprojects=0&with_subprojects=1` ... obviously wrong.

What plugins do i have?

- `redmine_git_hosting` .. but i doubt this influences this

Currently, d.c.o is 2.x, isnt it? (could test on a different platform yet). But there is an issue, i even could reproduce it on d.c.o, maybe the circumstances are more complicated. More details would be:

- i just logged in
- pressed on projects and then the parent project in the upper right
- then started to use the filters

2012-02-07 07:57 am - E M

(someone should lower the priority to normal or less :))

2012-02-25 07:54 pm - Michaël Rigart

Actually, the "wrong" parameter you call `with_subprojects` is correct :) . The state of the `with_subprojects` is defined by the checkbox and a hidden field (0 value).

The problem that you encounter has to do with the facts that your activity score parameter hash is empty, thus selecting al available event types again. Could you like try and select the "wiki edits" and deselect your "issues" . You'll see that it will hide all the issues.

2012-02-25 08:09 pm - Michaël Rigart

Located the problem, but I'll like to talk about it with one of the core developers.

The issue is situated in the `activities_controller` line 36:

```
@activity.scope = (@author.nil? ? :default : :all) if @activity.scope.empty?
```

Here you say that the activie scope has to be filled with default checkboxes or all (depending on user) if the scope is empty. So this means, if you uncheck all the event types, it will automatically fill it again with some default values.

So in the case of E M, who has 3 event types: issues, wiki edit and spent time. wiki edit and spint time are by default deselected. When he unchecks issues (then you would think no activities would be displayed) his event activities has is empty so the system falls back on the defaults, hence showing the issues again.

Best way would be to check if the subproject param has been submitted or not to see if it as a user submission filter or the starting page request. I'll try to fix this and make a pull request

2012-02-25 08:26 pm - Michaël Rigart

Ok, made a pull request: [\[\[https://github.com/chiliproject/chiliproject/pull/159\]\]](https://github.com/chiliproject/chiliproject/pull/159)

Added an extra hidden field so Chiliproject can see that it has to display an empty result set instead of the default filter that is automatically requested on the initial page load.

2012-02-29 10:23 pm - Felix Schäfer

- Priority changed from High to Normal

Actually completely removing the line resetting the activity to default if no scope is defined is enough to ensure that when no box is selected no activity is shown, this has the effect to present the "empty" activity page when you navigate to it though.

The "correct" way to ensure that when no activity type is selected you get no activity would be to add a "0" hidden field before each checkbox, thus clearly stating the intention to have no activity when no checkbox is selected, the detection of the whether to show the "default" activity set when no parameter is passed would have to be changed though.

MichaÃ«l, do you think that's something you could try to do?

2012-03-19 04:09 pm - Holger Just

An alternative variant could be to use a @set_filter@ field, as we don now with issues.

```
<pre><code class="diff">
diff --git a/app/controllers/activities_controller.rb b/app/controllers/activities_controller.rb
index cfc2be4..66b6fcc 100644
--- a/app/controllers/activities_controller.rb
+++ b/app/controllers/activities_controller.rb
@@ -33,7 +33,7 @@ class ActivitiesController < ApplicationController
     :with_subprojects => @with_subprojects,
     :author => @author)

  @activity.scope_select {|t| !params["show_#{t}"].nil?}
-  @activity.scope = (@author.nil? ? :default : :all) if @activity.scope.empty?
+  @activity.scope = (@author.nil? ? :default : :all) if @activity.scope.empty? unless params[:set_filter] == "1"

  events = @activity.events(@date_from, @date_to)

diff --git a/app/views/activities/index.html.erb b/app/views/activities/index.html.erb
index 173b750..4d9c4b0 100644
--- a/app/views/activities/index.html.erb
+++ b/app/views/activities/index.html.erb
@@ -42,7 +42,8 @@
 <% content_for :sidebar do %>
 <% form_tag({}, :method => :get) do %>
 <h3><%= I(:label_activity) %></h3>
-<p><% @activity.event_types.each do |t| %>
+<p><% @activity.event_types.each do |t| %><%= hidden_field_tag "set_filter", 1, :id => nil %>
+<p><% @activity.event_types.each do |t| %><%= hidden_field_tag "show_#{t}", 0, :id => nil %>
 <%= check_box_tag "show_#{t}", 1, @activity.scope.include?(t) %>
 <label for="show_<%=t%>"><%= link_to(I(:label_#{t.singularize}_plural"), {"show_#{t}" => 1, :user_id => params[:user_id]})%></label>
 <br />
</code></pre>
```

2012-03-20 09:31 pm - Felix Schäfer

- Assignee set to Holger Just

- Status changed from Open to Ready for review

Holger Just wrote:

> An alternative variant could be to use a `@set_filter@` field, as we don now with issues.

Not sure if I like that solution that much either, but if it's one that's already used somewhere else, it's probably better than nothing until we've refactored the whole thing.

The code looks fine to me, commit at will.

2012-03-28 06:33 pm - Felix Schäfer

- Assignee deleted (*Holger Just*)

- Status changed from *Ready for review* to *Closed*

Committed with a test, thanks!